

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 16 April 2024 11:03  
**To:** Planning Services  
**Subject:** Re: New comments for application FUL/2024/0001

**Categories:** BSA

**WARNING:** External email, think before you click!

Having done some studying of the documentation here are some of the issues I have identified:

**Noise:**

Within the description of the scheme it states: "The adoption of Best Practicable Means (BPM), as defined in the measure. The manifestation of BPM will be a series of noise and vibration control measures, which will be incorporated. There has been rigorous modelling based on 4 baseline measurement sites, none of which are in North Runcton. The assessment focuses more on the benefits to West Winch and North Runcton barely gets a mention. The modelling predicts significant impact on properties within 300m of the road. This is primarily Illington Lane. The modelling also predicts an increase noise level across the village of around 5dBa (more closer to the road). Despite there being significant impact the assessment states that there will be no mitigation as the cost of implementation is too high. (Environmental Statement: Chapter 10 Noise and Vibration - 8.5.3 and 8.5.4)

It seems wrong that the west side of North Runcton, which has in the past been relatively quiet, should have a significant increase in noise, especially in terms of noise, purely on the grounds of cost vs benefit. The report states that quite a few properties will be affected.

- The use of banking near the road, which would probably be made from the soils removed during the construction, would channel noise upwards so affording some attenuation, and would act to visually shield.

**Access:**

The access to the Scout Hut in North Runcton has not been addressed in the plans. There is currently access from the road.

**Trees:**

There is a lone native oak tree in the fields visible from Illington Lane that is not mentioned within the documentation. Because of its current situation it is a bit of an icon and so should be retained.



The dualling of the A47 will require the removal of a number of well established native trees. What will be done to replace them?

**Safety:**

Rectory Lane, will over the years, see significant traffic arising from the future housing estates. Is this not a good reason to increase the speed limit to 30mph, especially as there will be exits from these housing estates onto Rectory Lane (West Winch side). Local current 40mph limit and speeds of up to 65mph are regularly detected. This is clearly very dangerous.

**Landscaping and Lighting:**

Please can you confirm if the Biodiversity Net Gain requirement is being achieved through the landscaping, or if not, what will be done to achieve it?  
Request for the Hopes Charity Land to be leased by NRPC and a sale of the biodiversity net gain back to the charity.  
What will be the impact of the lighting on the A47 adjacent to Sheep's Course Wood on the local wildlife? This is a sensitive area.  
Why is lighting needed at the roundabout feeding into the Hopkins site when it is not needed at the other roundabouts? It would be preferable to support the wildlife living in that area.

**Other:**

Illington Lane during construction - please can you advise how the right of way through to Sheep's Course Wood will be maintained?  
Please can you provide information on what will happen to the construction compound area south of Sheep's Course Wood?

On 16 Apr 2024, at 10:42, Planning Services <MaWP@norfolk.gov.uk> wrote:

Good Morning,

Thank you recent response, unfortunately we are unable to open your comments. Could you please send them as a response to this email?

Regards

Sent on behalf of  
Planning Services

Email: [mawp@norfolk.gov.uk](mailto:mawp@norfolk.gov.uk) for any Planning enquiries or statutory Planning Consultations  
Web: [www.norfolk.gov.uk](http://www.norfolk.gov.uk)

Norfolk County Council  
General enquiries: 0344 800 8020 or [information@norfolk.gov.uk](mailto:information@norfolk.gov.uk)

-----Original Message-----

From: [webmaster@norfolk.gov.uk](mailto:webmaster@norfolk.gov.uk) <[webmaster@norfolk.gov.uk](mailto:webmaster@norfolk.gov.uk)>

Sent: Monday, April 15, 2024 9:56 AM

To: Planning Services <[MaWP@norfolk.gov.uk](mailto:MaWP@norfolk.gov.uk)>

Subject: New comments for application FUL/2024/0001

New comments have been received for application FUL/2024/0001 from 

Comments:

Please see attached document

The following files have been uploaded:

SLP WWHAR Comments.jpg

--

To see our email disclaimer click here <http://www.norfolk.gov.uk/emaildisclaimer>