

West Winch Housing Access Road ES Chapter 8: Annex 8.4 Aquatic Ecology Scoping Report Document Reference: ncc/3.08.08

West Winch Housing Access Road

Environmental Statement Chapter 8: Annex 8.4 Aquatic Ecology Scoping Report

Author: WSP

Document Reference: NCC/3.08.08

Version Number: 01

Date: November 2023



Contents

1	Aquatic Ecology Scoping Report		
	1.1	Methodology	3
	1.2	Results	9
	1.3	References	18

Tables

Table 1-1 – Statutory designated sites within 2km of the study area 10
Table 1-2 – Environment Agency fish data from a single catch survey conducted in March 2017 on the River Nar (NGR TF 67090 13498)
Table 1-3 – Biological metrics derived from Environment Agency macroinvertebratesurveys undertaken on the River Nar (NGR TF 63588 13456)
Table 1-4 - Environment Agency – Environment Agency macrophyte data from a macrophyte survey undertaken on 19/07/2019 on the River Nar (NGR TF 67716 14049)13
Table 1-5 – Summary of scoping survey sites with watercourse section detailshabitat descriptions and further survey scope15
Figures
Figure 1-1 – Scoping area with extents of receptors assessed and scheme boundary - south
Figure 1-2 – Scoping area with extents of receptors assessed and scheme boundary - central
Figure 1-3 – Scoping area with extents of receptors assessed and scheme boundary - North



1 Aquatic Ecology Scoping Report

1.1 Methodology

Desk Study

Designated Nature Conservation Sites

1.1.1 A desk study of statutory sites within 2km of the Proposed Scheme was undertaken. Information was obtained from Multi Agency Geographical Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) website (Natural England, 2022).

Water Framework Directive

1.1.2 The current Water Framework Directive (WFD) status for the catchments within 2km of the Study Area was obtained from the Environment Agency's Catchment Data Explorer website (Environment Agency, 2022a).

Environment Agency Records

1.1.3 Fish, aquatic macroinvertebrates (hereafter referred to as 'macroinvertebrates') and macrophyte survey data for relevant watercourses was obtained from the Environment Agency's Ecology and Fish Data Explorer (Environment Agency, 2022b).

Scoping Survey

- 1.1.4 An aquatic ecology scoping survey was conducted on all watercourses and waterbodies within or adjacent to the Scheme Boundary. These surveys were carried out in conjunction with a River Condition Assessment (RCA), the results of which will be presented in a separate technical note.
- 1.1.5 The Scheme Boundary and scoped aquatic receptors are shown in Figures 1-1 - 1-3. The Scheme Boundary used in these figures is a previous version of the Scheme Boundary that was relevant at the time of the scoping assessment. The version provided for the planning application has been revised to increase the area of landscaping within the Proposed Scheme or the boundary reduced where required. This does not affect the accuracy of this assessment.



1.1.6 The 'Survey Area', as it is referred to hereafter, includes 10 identified sections of ditch, Pierpoint Drain and a single pond, located within or in close proximity to the Scheme Boundary.



Figure 1-1 – Scoping area with extents of receptors assessed and scheme boundary - south







Figure 1-2 – Scoping area with extents of receptors assessed and scheme boundary - central





Figure 1-3 – Scoping area with extents of receptors assessed and scheme boundary - North



- 1.1.7 During the scoping survey the potential for aquatic habitats to support legally protected and/or notable aquatic species and suitability for further survey was assessed through field observations of various channel and bank characteristics. The characteristics assessed included substrate type, water depth and approximate flow type and velocity, riparian vegetation, presence of any artificial modifications, notable features (such as woody debris, stepped weirs, etc.), and potential sources of anthropogenic stress (i.e., rubbish, outfalls, signs of pollution). Observations were made on habitat suitability, connectivity, and the presence of invasive non-native species (INNS). Proximity to the route, potential impacts, and considerations for further assessments (e.g., WFD assessment, Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG), and CEMP) were also recorded during the survey. Photographs were taken to record both general channel characteristics and to further detail specific features.
- 1.1.8 Surveyors also noted any pertinent watercourse access details for the suitability of further in-channel surveys, including health and safety considerations.

Dates of Survey and Personnel

1.1.9 The aquatic ecology scoping assessment was led by a suitably experienced and qualified Aquatic Ecologist who is 'capable-accomplished' in habitat/species survey design, planning and fieldwork; and species identification as per the CIEEM Competency Framework (CIEEM, 2022). The aquatic scoping assessment was completed on 5th July 2022.

Notes and Limitations

- 1.1.10 Every effort has been made to provide a comprehensive description of the Study Area; however, the following specific limitations apply to this assessment:
 - Ecological survey data is typically valid for 12 to 18 months unless otherwise specified. The likelihood of surveys needing to be updated increases with time and is greater for mobile species or in



circumstances where the habitat or its management has changed significantly since the surveys were undertaken. Factors to be considered include (but are not limited to): whether a site supports, or may support, a mobile species which could have moved on to site, or changed its distribution within a site (CIEEM, 2019).

1.2 Results

Desk Study

Designated Nature Conservation Sites

- 1.2.1 There is one statutory designated site with aquatic species as a primary reason for selection or as a qualifying feature, within 2km of the Study Area:
 - River Nar SSSI.
- 1.2.2 Designation details relating to the River Nar SSSI are summarised in Table 1-1.



Table 1-1 – Statutory designated sites within 2km of the study area

Designation	Size (ha)	Approximate Distance and	Description
		Orientation from Site	
SSSI	233.43	1.30km West	The River Nar originates as a spring-fed stream flows for 42km before joining the River Great Ou prevents the penetration of seawater at high tide characteristics of a southern chalk stream and a with the adjacent terrestrial habitats, the Nar is a type.
			The upper Nar has a wide range of natural phys pools, gravel beds and meanders, whilst the low embanked and steep sided with water flowing sl arable flood plain. The variation in physical featu underlying chalk give rise to a rich and diverse fl riverine and bankside plants are many eutrophic including 5 pondweeds and 8 bryophytes. The fl to West Lexham, is typical of a calcareous, lowla abundance of Starwort <i>Callitriche spp.</i> and Reed The next 12 km of the River, to Narborough Mill, substrates and is rich in chalk stream plants incl Waterparsnip, <i>Berula erecta</i> ; Mare's-tail, <i>Hippur</i> sedge, <i>Carex paniculata</i> ; water crowfoot, <i>Ranur</i> <i>vertumnus</i> and Opposite-leaved Pondweed, <i>Gro</i> margins, with a constantly high-water table typic wide range of emergent plants. The final 18.5 km physically diverse than the upper reaches, it pos several species not found in the upper river. The sluggish flows and include 3 pondweeds, <i>Potarm</i> <i>Ranunculus spp.</i> ; Hornwort, <i>Ceratophyllum dem</i> <i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> ; and river Water-dropwo well-known locally for its Brown Trout, <i>Salmo tru</i> have increased steadily; Pike, <i>Esox lucius</i> , num whilst Roach, <i>Rutilus rutilus</i> , and Eel, <i>Anguilla a</i> dominant species in the river. A further 11 speci although they contribute only a small amount to <i>Squalius cephalus</i> ; Tench, <i>Tinca tinca</i> ; Gudgeon <i>erythrophthalmus</i> ; Bullhead, <i>Cottus gobio</i> ; Rainl
	Designation SSSI	Designation Size (ha) SSSI 233.43 Image: state stat	Designation Size (ha) Approximate Distance and Orientation from Site SSSI 233.43 1.30km West

West Winch Housing Access Road ES Chapter 8: Annex 8.4 Aquatic Ecology Scoping Report Document Reference: ncc/3.08.08

> west of Mileham in Norfolk and use at Kings Lynn, where a sluice e. The River combines the in East Anglian fen river. Together an outstanding river system of its

> ical features incorporating riffles, ver reaches below Narborough are uggishly through a predominantly ures and the influence of the lora. Amongst the 78 species of and mesotrophic species, lora of the first 10 km of the river, and ditch community with an d Sweetgrass, Glyceria maxima. is fast flowing over stoney uding Narrow-leaved is vulgaris; Greater Tussocknculus pseudofluitans var. penlandia densa. The wet al of chalk streams, support a n is embanked and although less ssesses a contrasting flora with ese plants are characteristic of nogeton spp.; 2 water Crowfoots, nersum; Water-milfoil, rt, Oenanthe aquatica. The Nar is *itta*. Since 1985, trout numbers bers have remained fairly stable nguilla, have continued to be the es have been recorded in the Nar

> the total fish biomass e.g.: Chub, n, Gobio gobio; Rudd, Scardinius bow Trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss; am, Abramis brama hybrids.



Water Framework Directive

- 1.2.3 There are three WFD-designated waterbodies located within 2km of the Study Area, the Nar downstream of Abbey Farm waterbody (GB105033047792), Middleton Stop Drain Water Body (GB105033047670) and County Drain Water Body (GB105033047770) (Environment Agency, 2022a).
- 1.2.4 The 2019 WFD ecological status of the Nar was Moderate overall. Fish and invertebrates were classified as High and High, respectively. Ecological status of the Nar did not reach a good status overall due to a Moderate status for dissolved oxygen. The 2019 WFD ecological status of the Middleton Stop Drain waterbody was also Moderate overall. Invertebrates and macrophytes and phytobenthos combined were classified as High and Moderate. The 2019 WFD ecological status of the County Drain waterbody was Poor overall. Invertebrates and macrophytes and phytobenthos combined status of the County Drain waterbody was Poor overall. Invertebrates and macrophytes and phytobenthos combined were classified as High and Poor, respectively.
- 1.2.5 The 2019 WFD physico-chemical status of the Nar was classified as Moderate overall. Ammonia, phosphate and pH were classified as High. Temperature was classified as Good, and dissolved oxygen as Moderate. The 2019 WFD physico-chemical status of Middleton Stop Drain waterbody was classified as Good. Acid neutralising capacity, phosphate, temperature and pH were classified as High. Ammonia and dissolved oxygen were classified as Good. The 2019 WFD physico-chemical status of the County Drain waterbody was classified as Moderate. Ammonia, temperature and pH were classified as High. Phosphate was classified as Good and dissolved oxygen as Moderate.

Fish Records

1.2.6 A search of the Environment Agency's Ecology and Fish Data Explorer returned data from a single catch survey conducted in 2017 on the River Nar at NGR TF 67090 13498, approximately 3.7km south east of the Scheme Boundary.



1.2.7 A total of 67 fish, across nine species, were caught during the survey. The data are detailed in Table 1-2.

Table 1-2 – Environment Agency fish data from a single catch survey conducted in March 2017 on the River Nar (NGR TF 67090 13498)

Common Name	Latin Name	No. of Individuals
Brown/sea Trout*	Salmo trutta	10
Perch	Perca fluviatilis	2
Stone Loach	Barbatula barbatula	4
Bullhead*	Cottus gobio	2
Gudgeon	Gobio gobio	27
European Eels > elvers*	Anguilla anguilla	10
Pike	Esox lucius	2
3-spined Stickleback	Gasterosteus aculeatus	9
River Lamprey*	Lampetra fluviatilis	1
TOTAL	NULL	67

*Denotes protected/notable species.

Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Records

- 1.2.8 A search of the Environment Agency's Ecology and Fish Data Explorer returned results from macroinvertebrate surveys carried out in 2021 on the River Nar, at NGR TF 63588 13456, approximately 1.2km south of the Scheme Boundary. No protected macroinvertebrate species were noted in the sample. Two species of INNS, the New Zealand Mud Snail *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* and the amphipod *Crangonyx pseudogracilis/floridanus* were identified in the sample.
- 1.2.9 The biological metrics derived from these surveys are detailed in Table 1-3.



Table 1-3 – Biological metrics derived from Environment Agency macroinvertebrate surveys undertaken on the River Nar (NGR TF 63588 13456)

Date	WHPT- ASPT	WHPT- NTAXA	LIFE (TL5)	PSI (TL5)	CCI
12/03/2021	4.73	27	6.65	22.45	7

1.2.10 The WHPT-ASPT scores indicate that neither pollution tolerant nor intolerant taxa dominated the assemblage.

- 1.2.11 The LIFE scores indicate the predominant presence of taxa primarily associated with slow to moderate flows.
- 1.2.12 The PSI scores classifies the River Nar as "Sedimented".
- 1.2.13 The CCI scores identify the site as having a "Moderate" conservation value.

Macrophyte Records

- 1.2.14 A search of Environment Agency's Ecology and Fish Data Explorer returned data from a survey conducted in 2019 from a location on Country Drain at NGR TF 67716 14049, approximately 4.2km east of the Scheme Boundary.
- 1.2.15 A total of 67 fish, across nine species, were caught during the survey. The data are detailed in Table 1-2.
- Table 1-4 Environment Agency Environment Agency macrophyte data from a macrophyte survey undertaken on 19/07/2019 on the River Nar (NGR TF 67716 14049)

Таха	Common Name	Percentage Cover
		Bands
Agrostis sp.	Bentgrass	2
Apium nodiflorum	Fool's Water-cress	1
Berula erecta	Lesser Water Parsnip	4
Enteromorpha flexuosa	Flexuous Gutweed	2
Epilobium hirsutum	Hairy Willowherb	2
Equisetum arvense	Common Horsetail	1



Таха	Common Name	Percentage Cover
		Bands
Glyceria maxima	Reed Sweet Grass	6
Lemna minor	Common Duckweed	6
Phalaris arundinacea	Reed Canary Grass	4
Potamogeton perfoliatus	Claspingleaf Pondweed	3
Sagittaria sagittifolia	Arrowhead	6
Sparganium emersum	Unbranched Bur-reed	5
Sparganium erectum	Branched Bur-reed	7
Veronica catenata	Pink Water-speedwell	2
Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum agg.	Watercress	5
Cladophora glomerata/Rhizoclonium hieroglyphicum	Green Algae	9

1.2.16 No protected or invasive non-native macrophyte species were noted in the sample.

Scoping Survey

1.2.17 Information regarding each watercourse section and whether the watercourses are crossed by the proposed route is outlined in Table 1-5.



Waterbody name	Site location	Habitat Description
Ditch 1	Upstream extent: TF 63470 14726 Downstream extent: TF 63869 14731	Linear 2m deep field drain with uniform sloped banks. Dry and terrestrialised. No INNS (Invasive Non-Native Species)
Ditch 2	Upstream extent: TF 63464 15281 Downstream extent: TF 63731 14992	Linear 2m deep field drain with uniform sloped banks. Dry and terrestrialised in areas. Wetland species <i>Iris sp., Carex sp., Phragmites sp.</i> and <i>Phalaris sp.</i> present. No INNS.
Ditch 3	Upstream extent: TF 63642 15538 Downstream extent: TF 63782 15585	Linear 1.5m deep field drain next to road with uniform sloped banks. Dry and terrestrialised. Overgrown with dense vegetation. No INNS.
Ditch 4	Upstream extent: TF 63595 15801 Downstream extent: TF 63767 15830	Linear 0.5m shallow field drain on field boundary with uniform gently sloped banks. Dry and terrestrialised. Overgrown with dense vegetation. No INNS.
Ditch 5	Upstream extent: TF 63716 15999 Downstream extent: TF 63697 16140	Dry and terrestrialised. Likely filled in during construction of nearby fence. Overgrown with dense vegetation. No INNS.
Ditch 6	Upstream extent: TF 63800 16343 Downstream extent TF 63937 16336	Linear 1m deep field drain with uniform sloped banks. Dry and terrestrialised. Wetland plant species present with poor species diversity, e.g. Iris <i>sp.</i> , <i>Epilobium sp.</i> . No INNS.

Table 1-5 – Summary of scoping survey sites with watercourse section details habitat descriptions and further survey scope

West Winch Housing Access Road ES Chapter 8: Annex 8.4 Aquatic Ecology Scoping Report Document Reference: ncc/3.08.08

Crossed by Proposed Route?
No
Yes
Yes
Yes
No
Yes



Waterbody name	Site location	Habitat Description
Ditch 7	Upstream extent: TF 63856 16776 Downstream extent TF 63869 16338	Linear 1m deep field drain with uniform sloped banks. Dry and terrestrialised.
Pond 1	TF 63990 16803	Extensive algal bloom possible sign of eutrophication (high nutrient input). Large wood, floating duckweed, trash and fine debris, shaded banks, no perceptible flows. No INNS.
Ditch 8	Upstream extent: TF 64060 17301 Downstream extent TF 64055 17078	Sinuous woodland ditch with bare channel bed and bank face. Shallow in areas. Extensive organic woody material. Dry. No INNS.
Ditch 9	Upstream extent: TF64082 17319 Downstream extent TF 64523 17964	Linear 2m deep field drain with uniform sloped banks. Dry and terrestrialised in areas. Overgrown with vegetation. Macrophytes <i>Apium sp.</i> and <i>Phragmites sp.</i> in lower sections of the ditch towards confluence with Pierpoint Drain. No INNS.
Ditch 10	Upstream extent: TF 63590 17992 Downstream extent TF 63702 18186	Linear 1.5m deep field drain with uniform sloped banks. Dry in areas. Channel contained water in northern sections. Overgrown with vegetation. Macrophytes <i>Apium sp.</i> and <i>Phragmites sp.</i> in lower sections of the ditch towards confluence with Pierpoint Drain. No INNS.
Pierpoint Drain	Upstream extent: ST 02310 07251 Downstream extent ST 02344 07045	Dredged drain, 4 m in width. 0.75 m water depth with a silt bed. Steep banks with uniform vegetation cover. Water levels controlled by sluice gate system.

West Winch Housing Access Road ES Chapter 8: Annex 8.4 Aquatic Ecology Scoping Report Document Reference: ncc/3.08.08

	Crossed by Proposed Route?
	No
	No
	Yes
	No
1	Yes
	No



Ditches 1-8

- 1.2.18 The majority of the ditches within the Survey Area to the south of the A47 were dry field drains with low ecological value for aquatic species. The ephemeral nature of these ditches renders them unsuitable for supporting the majority of macroinvertebrate and fish species.
- 1.2.19 Refer to Appendix A, Figures A1-A19 for photographs.

Ditch 9-10

- 1.2.20 Ditch 9 flows north of the A47 before joining Pierpoint drain. Much of the surveyed section was dry and exhibited similar characteristics to Ditches 1-8. However, suitable habitat to support aquatic species was present towards the confluence with Pierpoint Drain, and it was observed that any runoff flow from the A47 would likely discharge into this ditch before entering Pierpoint Drain. The upper section of Ditch 9 is likely ephemeral in nature, therefore rendering it unsuitable for supporting the majority of macroinvertebrate and fish species.
- 1.2.21 Ditch 10 also flows north of the A47, splitting into two sections before joining Pierpoint Drain. Much of the surveyed section was wet and macrophyte species were present, however areas of dry channel were also present. It was not clear from observation whether the drain passes under the A47, or if there are any surface water run-off outfalls along it, due to overgrown vegetation and impassable paths.
- 1.2.22 Refer to Appendix A, Figures A20-A22 for photographs.

Pierpoint Drain

- 1.2.23 Pierpoint Drain flows from east to west and joins the River Nar SSSI at NGR TF 62201 18772. The flow is impounded by a series of culverts and a sluice gate at the A149 road bridge crossing. Land use surrounding the drain is dominated by arable farmland. The banks are steep and uniform.
- 1.2.24 Submerged and emergent macrophytes were observed in high abundance, including *Sagittaria sagittifolia, Potamogeton sp., Sparganium erectum,*



Phragmites sp., suggesting a diverse plant community which has the potential to support notable and protected macroinvertebrate and fish species.

1.2.25 Refer to Appendix A, Figures A23-A27 for photographs.

1.3 References

- CIEEM, (2019). Advice note on the lifespan of ecological reports and surveys. Available at: hyperlink to website https://cieem.net/wpcontent/uploads/2019/04/Advice-Note.pdf. [Accessed July 2022].
- CIEEM, (2022). CIEEM Competency Framework. Available at: hyperlink to website https://cieem.net/resource/competency-framework/ [Accessed July 2022].
- Environment Agency, (2022a). Catchment Data Explorer. [Online]. Available at: hyperlink to website https://environment.data.gov.uk/catchmentplanning/WaterBody/GB105033042690 [Accessed July 2022].
- Environment Agency, (2022b). Ecology and Fish Data Explorer. Available at: hyperlink to website https://environment.data.gov.uk/ecology/explorer/ [Accessed July 2022].
- HMSO, (1981). The Wildlife and Countryside Act. JNCC and Defra. Available at: hyperlink to website http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-1377 [Accessed July 2022].
- HMSO, (2006). Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act (2006). HMSO, London.
- HMSO, (2019). The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations (Amendment) (EU Exit). HMSO, London.

The UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework 2011-2020. Available at: hyperlink to website https://jncc.gov.uk/our-work/uk-post-2010-biodiversity-framework/ [Accessed July 2022].