From: Dan Walker

Sent: Thursday, April 25, 2024 4:27 PM

To: Andrew Harriss

Subject: RE: DIS/2024/0009: Beeston Regis: surface water management

## WARNING: External email, think before you click!

Our ref: B92/2

Good afternoon Andrew

Many thanks for your email below.

Responses in red provided to each point. Happy to discuss each as you see fit.

If you need me to issue an update scheme to reflect these matters, please let me know.

Kind regards

## Dan Walker MRICS Chartered Surveyor

David L Walker Limited, Albion House, 89 Station Road, Eckington, Sheffield, S21 4FW

Tel:

Mob:

ax.

Email:

Please visit our website: www.davidlwalkerlimited.co.uk

This e-mail and any attached files are confidential and intended for the addressee only and may be the subject of legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not copy, distribute, disclose or use the information it contains. Please email the sender or telephone <u>01246 431749</u> immediately and delete this message from your system. E-mails are susceptible to corruption, interception and unauthorised amendment. We do not accept liability for any such changes, or for their consequences. The recipient is responsible for virus checking before opening this e-mail.

From: Andrew Harriss

**Sent:** Monday, April 22, 2024 5:12 PM

To: Dan Walker

Subject: DIS/2024/0009: Beeston Regis: surface water management

Afternoon Dan,

Thank you for your application in relation to the above.

It is noted from para. 3.5 of your submission that the three pipes will be 1,000mm concrete pipes; for the avoidance of doubt, please can you confirm whether this relates to the diameter of the pipe rather than length of each section – confirmed this is the internal diameter. These pipes can come in section lengths from 3m up to 14m, so NG will source sufficient distance to make the full run perform.

It is further noted from para. 3.9 of your submission that, Due to the size of the pipe work, there is no expectation that blockages will be a concern, however, monthly inspections will be maintained initially, reducing in frequency over time during the course of the aftercare period. – confirmed, there is no reason why such pipes should block given the nature of the restored landform;

Having reviewed other approved surface water management and maintenance schemes I would respectfully suggest that inspection of inlets and outfalls should be undertaken on a weekly basis whilst the site is still operational, reducing to quarterly intervals / following storm activity or preferably monthly intervals / following storm activity upon restoration, in perpetuity; your comments are invited — noted, but again given the topographical and hydrological nature of the site, I'm not sure such an onerous regime is required. Suggest monthly whilst still operational, quarterly post restoration through the formal aftercare period, and annual in perpetuity.

Kind regards Andrew

## **Andrew Harriss, Senior Planning Officer**

Community & Environmental Services Department



Floor 1, County Hall, Martineau Lane, NORWICH





--

To see our email disclaimer click here http://www.norfolk.gov.uk/emaildisclaimer